THE PHILIPS CONNECTION military electronics for south africa



NETHERLANDS ANTI-APARTHEID MOVEMENT

Front cover: A soldier wearing night vision goggles which use image intensifiers developed and manufactured for this purpose by Philips. This image intensifier and other Philips components for night vision equipment were introduced on the South African market in 1979. Philips state: 'Our night vision components are military products.' The illustration was taken from a sales brochure for military customers published by Philips' Elcoma division ('Proximity Focussed Lightweight Image Intensifiers', undated, printed in England). The back page lists South Africa as one of the suppliers of these military electronic components.

WORLD CAMPAIGN AGAINST MILITARY AND NUCLEAR COLLABORATION WITH SOUTH AFRICA

Aims and Objectives

- 1. To promote the widest awareness by world public opinion of the grave and increasing threat to international peace and security created by the system of apartheid in South Africa
- 2. To campaign for an end to all forms of military, nuclear and security collaboration with the racist regime in South Africa
- 3. To work for the effective implementation of the United Nations arms embargo against South Africa and to ensure that it is reinforced to encompass all forms of assistance and cooperation to the racist regime in the maintenance and strengthening of its military and police establishment and in its nuclear programme
- 4. To make representations to governments concerned on violations of the embargo and about any military, nuclear or security collaboration by them with South Africa
- 5. To cooperate with appropriate organs of the United Nations and the Organisation of African Unity on implementation of effective measures against military, nuclear and security collaboration with South Africa
- 6. To publicise all information concerning military and nuclear plans of the South African regime, its threat to and breaches of international peace and security, and actions by governments and organisations to end all collaboration with that regime.

The World Campaign against Military and Nuclear Collaboration with South Africa was launched in London on 28 March 1979 at the initiative of the British Anti-Apartheid Movement

Founding Patrons of the World Campaign: His Excellency President Mwalimu Julius K Nyerere, His Excellency President Sir Seretse Khama, His Excellency President Dr Agostinho Neto, His Excellency President Dr Kenneth Kaunda and His Excellency Lt-General Olusegun Obasanjo

Sponsors of the World Campaign: Olof Palme, Coretta Scott King, Joan Lestor MP and David Steel MP

Director:

Abdul S Minty World Campaign against Military and Nuclear Collaboration with South Africa PO Box 2, Lindeberg Gaard, Oslos10, Norway. Tel (02) 30 13 45

THE PHILIPS CONNECTION Military Electronics for South Africa

Netherlands Anti-Apartheid Movement

Foreword by His Excellency B Akporode Clark Chairman, United Nations Special Committee against Apartheid

Preface by Cees N M Commandeur Members of the Executive Board of the Netherlands Trade Union Confederation FNV

Introduction by Sami Faltas

The Netherlands Anti-Apartheid Movement expresses its gratitude to those who assisted us in preparing this brochure: Philips workers, trade unionists, and friends from the solidarity movement. Special thanks are due to Ethel de Keyser and Abdul S Minty of the British Anti-Apartheid Movement.

Jointly Published by Netherlands Anti-Apartheid Movement, PO Box 10500, Lauriergracht 116, Amsterdam, Netherlands, and

World Campaign against Military and Nuclear Collaboration with South Africa October 1980

Foreword

The mandatory arms embargo against South Africa was instituted by unanimous decision of the United Nations Security Council in November 1977 in order to curb the violent repression of the opponents of apartheid as well as to avert an escalation of armed conflict, and thereby reduce the loss of life in the inevitable process of liberation of South Africa. This embargo should be urgently strengthened and reinforced by additional measures in the light of continuing brutal violence and repression by the South African regime against schoolchildren and others resisting apartheid. The arms embargo is all the more necessary because of South Africa's repeated acts of aggression against independent African states.

South Africa has been able to obtain military equipment and technology from abroad because of the failure of several of its traditional suppliers of arms strictly to implement the letter and spirit of the arms embargo. I must make particular reference to their failure (a) to enact effective national legislation which provides for punitive deterrence to violators of the embargo; (b) to remove all licences, capital and technological assistance contributing to the growing arms industry in South Africa; (c) to prohibit export to South Africa of all 'dual purpose equipment' including electronic, communications and other equipment to the military and police forces of South Africa; and (d) to stop all transfer of military technology to South Africa.

The Special Committee has noted with satisfaction and gratitude the contribution of the anti-apartheid movements, trade unionists and journalists in uncovering violations of the arms embargo and to press for action by their national governments. I wish to commend in particular the efforts of the Dutch Anti-Apartheid Movement in drawing attention to the continued supply of military electronic equipment and technology to South Africa. I wish them success and assure them of the full support of the Special Committee Against Apartheid.

B Akporode Clark Chairman United Nations Special Committee Against Apartheid

Preface

Racial discrimination has a legal basis in South Africa. This discrimination is very clearly reflected in labour legislation. Countless South African laws encroach on the internationally-recognised right of trade unions and workers to organise and defend their interests freely.

The Netherlands Trade Union Confederation, FNV, in concurrence with the worldwide rejection of South African apartheid, fundamentally rejects the present system of government in South Africa. Furthermore, the FNV has no confidence in the willingness of the white rulers to listen to reason and initiate significant changes. Only the struggle of the black population and overseas pressure will enforce change in South Africa. It is in this context that the FNV calls for the complete isolation of present-day South Africa, by means of a military and economic boycott.

Netherlands companies, amongst others, support the apparatus of oppression in South Africa, directly or indirectly. The FNV strongly condemns such support. FNV unions are cooperating with the workers at these companies to obstruct any type of support for the apartheid system in South Africa. Thus in the past we prevented the supply of submarines to South Africa, and we prevented Dutch companies from participating in the construction of nuclear reactors in South Africa. There have also been — and there continue to be — discussions in industry and with boards of directors about the recognition of trade unions in South Africa and about whether or not to make new investments in South Africa. These are only a few examples.

In trade union work on South Africa, new information, as presented in this report *The Philips Connection*, is of great value. It gives trade union members new material and new inspiration to promote discussion within Philips on this type of support for apartheid. The newly-formed Working Group on South Africa of Philips shop stewards will therefore study this report. And from that level the discussion can find its way to the international trade unions' consultations on the Philips Group.

Cees N M Commandeur

Amsterdam, September 1980

Member of the Executive Board of the Netherlands Trade Union Confederation FNV

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	6
THE ELECTRONIC EMPIRE	7
Basic Facts on Philips	7
Worldwide Military Interests	8
DEFYING THE ARMS EMBARGO	9
Proud of its long association with South Africa	9
Services rendered	10
Arming apartheid today: strategic components	10
Arming apartheid today: police radios	12
ORGANISING TO STOP COLLABORATION	13
Government responsibility	13
Solidarity	14
Philips' major subsidiaries supplying military electronics	15
Sources	16

Гų	jures:	
1.	Philips' Power Structure	7
2.	Philips' Main Product Divisions	7
3.	Facts and Figures on Philips for 1978	8
4.	Philips' Military Equipment Plantss	8
5.	Philips' Components Plants producing Military Components or Capable	
	of such Manufacture	8
6.	Philips' South African Operation	9
7.	Equipment made by Philips (France) in use by the South African Air Force	10
8.	Military Night Vision Components available from South African Philips	11
9.	Philips' Military Components on Sale in South Africa	11

9. Philips' Military Components on Sale in South Africa

INTRODUCTION

With the establishment of the independent state of Zimbabwe and the installation of a democratically elected government in Salisbury (April 1980), Africa has moved towards the final defeat of colonialism and racist oppression.

The freedom fighters of Southern Africa, however, still face a powerful and ruthless enemy in the apartheid regime of South Africa, which also represents a constant and growing threat to independent African states. South Africa's recent attacks on Angola bear witness to this.

The considerable military and industrial power of the Pretoria regime has been built up and sustained largely through the support of the Western world. And, despite public condemnation of apartheid policies, that support continues through capital investment, bank loans, trade and military links.

For many years now, the international community has been working to stop the flow of arms, capital, oil and other trade to South Africa. In 1963, the UN Security Council called on all states to stop providing arms and related equipment to Pretoria. This voluntary arms embargo failed in many important respects. Western governments and transnational corporations supplied military hardware and technology to South Africa on a large scale. In order to enforce the arms embargo, the Security Council formally prohibited the supply of arms and related equipment to South Africa in November 1977.

This mandatory embargo has made military collaboration with the apartheid regime more difficult than before. But, unfortunately, several governments and transnational corporations continue to provide strategic equipment and technical knowledge for South Africa's military build-up, in defiance of the arms embargo. Since this is now illegal under international law, such military collaboration is more carefully concealed.

In 1978 the Space Research Affair was exposed. Several Western countries were involved in a large-scale arrangement to provide the South African army with advanced 155mm howitzer shells. At least one batch, consisting of 42 crates, was carried to Durban in June 1978 by the Dutch ship 'Breezand', owned by the Van Es Company of the Rotterdam-based Van Ommeren Group.

In this report, we are concerned with the Philips Group, a transnational corporation based in the Netherlands and involved in arming the apartheid regime in defiance of the UN mandatory arms embargo. The Netherlands Anti-Apartheid Movement has repeatedly urged the Dutch government to introduce appropriate measures to prevent further violations of the arms embargo by Philips and any other Netherlands-based company. This campaign is supported by Dutch members of parliament, youth movements, trade unions, Philips shop stewards and other organisations and individuals. As yet, the Netherlands government has refused to take any decisive steps. In fact, no legislation whatsoever has been passed to enforce the mandatory arms embargo against South Africa.

Such military collaboration with apartheid South Africa is, of course, not an exclusively Dutch problem. There are many indications that other companies in the field of electronics are similarly undermining and violating the arms embargo.

Military electronics are of vital importance to modern armaments and South Africa is, to a very large extent, dependent on imports in this field.

In supplying strategic electronic products and technology to South Africa, the Philips Group is using its transnational structure and Philips subsidiaries and affiliates, outside the Netherlands, are actively involved.

Philips' contribution to the arming of apartheid is revealed only partially in this report. Further research is needed, together with critical comments, suggestions and information. This study will, we trust, provoke such a response, which we hope to incorporate in a more comprehensive document.

Please write to the Netherlands Anti-Apartheid Movement, PO Box 10500, 1001 EM Amsterdam, Netherlands

6

Sami Faltas Amsterdam September 1980

THE ELECTRONIC EMPIRE

BASIC FACTS ON PHILIPS

To many people in over 50 countries around the world, Philips is their local company making electrical consumer goods.

This is a misunderstanding.

First, no Philips company is a local enterprise. All are subsidiaries or affiliates of a world-wide transnational corporation, with a network of interests throughout the Western and Third World. Philips is the largest European-based electronics group and the fifth largest company, outside the US, in terms of sales. As a transnational, Philips manages its global interests so as to maximise total profits: moving from one market to another that is more lucrative; shifting jobs from high-wage areas to low-wage areas; avoiding the justified demands of trade unions, etc. This requires an elaborate system of corporate management and control, culminating in a small number of coordinating centres and an even smaller group of ultimate decision-makers.

The heart of the Philips system is in the Netherlands, in Eindhoven, Philips' home town. Here the parent company, NV Philips Incandescent Lamp Works, and the holding company which owns virtually all of NV Philips' shares, are registered. The shares of the holding company are traded on the stock exchanges of the world. The Philips family, which founded the enterprise in 1981, still owns a significant portion of the holding company's ordinary share capital, though the exact size of their holding is not disclosed.

What is more important is that the extended family controls the 10 priority shares, which gives them control over the leadership of the group, as the holding company's directors are appointed by the priority shareholders.

At present all nine priority share controllers, all six holding company directors and both chairmen of the parent company's executive and supervisory boards are members of, or in some way related to, the Philips family. Moreover, five particularly powerful Philips men occupy between them 14 out of the 17 abovementioned key positions (see Figure 1). Finally, it is interesting to note that all group directors are Netherlands citizens, and all are men.

Figure 1. Philips' Power Structure

	Priority Share Controllers ¹	Holding Company Directors	Parent Company Directors
N Rodenburg	х	х	X Chairman Executive Board
H van Riemsdijk	XX	х	X Chairman Super- visory Board
P le Clercq	Х	х	X Member Super- visory Board
D Noordhof	х	Х	X Member Super- visory Board
F Philips	Х	х	- (former chairman)
Others	3	1	18

1. There are five governors of the Dr A F Philips Foundation (Neth Antilles), which owns six priority shares, and four individual priority shareholders. Mr van Riemsdijk belongs to both categories.

Source: Philips Annual Report 1978

Despite this very powerful base in the Netherlands, Philips has managed to acquire a highly international image and is often taken for a British company in Britain, a German company in the FRG, etc. This paradox can be explained partly by the fact that, whereas ultimate power is highly centralised in Philips, the day-to-day running of the company is largely decentralised. The delegation of power takes place along geographical and functional lines.

Geographic decentralisation starts at head office in Eindhoven and ends at Philips' National Organisation in a particular country. Functional decentralisation begins at one of the group's 14 main product divisions and ends at the product manager of a particular Philips company belonging to that division.

Few people know precisely how this complex matrix structure works, but it does. All but one of the main product divisions are based in the Netherlands. They are responsible for worldwide product policy in their particular fields and they play an important part in the Philips system.

The list of main product divisions in Figure 2 clearly shows that Philips is involved in many markets. Several divisions manufacture and distribute products for use by industry, commerce, research, health services, utilities, government services, the military and the police.

Figure 2. Philips' Main Product Divisions

Figure 3. Facts and Figures on Philips for 1978

Group sales Group profit before taxes Net profit	\$247	37.05 million 73.53 million 91.81 million
Workforce at end of year Part of workforce in the Netherlands		,900 persons ,100 persons
Number of countries of operation		65 states
Breakdown of earnings	Deliveries %	Gross profit %
Lighting and batteries	9	14
Video and audio consumer products	30	40
Domestic appliances	10	14
Products for professional applications	25	25
Industrial materials and components	17	9
Various activities	9	10
Not attributed to product sectors		./. 12
	100	100
Source: Annual Report 1978. Dutch G converted to US Dollars at a ra		7.

WORLDWIDE MILITARY INTERESTS

Philips is deeply involved in the arms trade. The company is doing particularly well in this area, now that the general economic recession is worsening and military tensions are building up around the world. In 1978, one of Philips' senior salesmen of weapon systems said: 'When the economic situation in the world deteriorates, activities in our field tend to grow... The converse is also true...'¹

To be sure, Philips is not among the largest arms-producing companies of the Western world. Nevertheless, the international Philips group plays an important part in the armaments industry due to the technical sophistication, military significance and broad scope of its military activities. In a large number of Western countries, as well as in several developing countries, Philips plants are manufacturing products for military and police use. A larger number of Philips sales companies around the world serve as outlets for such equipment.

Generally speaking, there are two types of Philips plants manufacturing military electronics: the equipment factories which produce complete radar, sonar, fire control, night vision and communications systems for the armed forces; and the components plants which manufacture important parts for military equipment. Naturally, both types of plant cooperate within the Philips group. But the weapon system factories draw a large amount of their components from non-Philips suppliers, and the components factories sell a substantial proportion of their output to civil and military industries outside the Philips group. The plants listed in Figures 4 and 5 are by no means exclusively oriented towards military markets. Many equipment factories devote a sizeable part of their activities to civil products, and most components plants are predominantly civil.

What is remarkable about Philips' military network is its transnational character. Its strongholds are evenly spread over the major Western countries – USA, Britain, France, West Germany, Sweden, Belgium and the Netherlands. Other important establishments are in Brazil and South Africa, as we shall see in greater detail below.

How important are the products Philips manufactures for military use? As a major producer and supplier of military electronic components, subsystems and equipment, Philips is involved in the single most strategic industry existing today. To a large extent the arms race has become a race in the development and application of advanced electronics to military equipment. According to Roger Facer, a civil servant at the British Ministry of Defence, 'electronic equipment is now fitted into almost every kind of weapon system, and represents a constantly increasing proportion of their cost. Communications equipment of all sorts is required in ever-greater complexity to assist commanders at all levels.'²

In recent years, Philips has reaped considerable profits from the international arms trade, by participating successfully in the military industry and military exports of the countries in which it operates. Thus most US and French jet fighters carry Philips equipment. Most West German, Swedish and Dutch warships use Philips fire control systems. Most West European naval missiles are guided by Philips instruments. Finally, many armies and police forces communicate by Philips radios. As we shall see, the electronic empire is also doing lucrative business in helping to arm the apartheid regime of South Africa.

Figure 4. Philips' Military Equipment Plants

Philips Telecommunications Manufacturing Co Ltd, Clayton (Victoria) and Liverpool (NSW), Australia Manufacture Belge de Lampes et de Matériel Electronique (MBLE), Brussels, Belgium SA Philips do Brasil, divisão Inbelsa, São Paulo, Brazil Philips Electronics Ltd, Scarborough, Ontario, Canada Télécommunications Radioélectriques et Téléphoniques (TRT), Le Plessis Robinson, Brive, Rouen, Dreux, Lannion and Lunéville, France Société d'Optique, de Mécanique et de Radio (Oméra-Segid), Argenteuil, France TeKaDe Fernmeldeanlagen GmbH, Nürnberg, FRG Elektro-Spezial, Unternehmensbereich der Philips GmbH, Bremen, FRG The MEL Equipment Co, Crawley, Sussex, Gt Britain Graseby Instruments Ltd, Surbiton, Surrey, Gt Britain Pye Telecommunications Ltd, Cambridge, Gt Britain Pye TMC Ltd, London, Gt Britain Pye Dynamics Ltd, Bushey, Hertfordshire, Gt Britain Hollandse Signaalapparaten BV, Hengelo. Netherlands Philips Telecommunicatie Industrie BV, Hilversum, Netherlands Philips USFA BV, Eindhoven, Netherlands Van der Heem Electronics BV, The Hague, Netherlands Philips Telecommunications (Pty) Ltd, Wadeville, South Africa Philips Elektronikindustrier AB, Jarfälla, Sweden Magnavox Government and Industrial Equipment Company, Fort Wayne, Indiana, USA

Figure 5. Philips' Components Plants Producing Military Components or Capable of such Manufacture

Philips Elcoma Miniwatt, Hendon, Adelaide, Australia Philips Electrônicos do Nordeste SA, Recife, Brazil Ibrape SA, Ramos Paiva, São Paulo, Brazil RTC La Radiotechnique-Compelec, Caen, Saint Lô and Suresnes, France Valvo GmbH, Hamburg Lockstedt and Hamburg-Langenhorn, FRG Mullard Ltd, Southampton, Blackburn, Stockport and Mitcham (London), Gt Britain Newmarket Transistors Ltd, Newmarket, Suffolk, Gt Britain Electronic Devices Ltd, Kwai Chung, Kowloon, Hong Kong Philips SpA, Monza, Italy Matsushita Electronic Corp, (Philips minority holding), Osaka, Nagaoka, Okyama, Utsonomiya, Arayi and Kagoshima, Japan Signetics Korea Corp Ltd, Seoul, Republic of Korea Electronica SA de CV, Toluca, Monterrey, Mexico Elcoma, Nijmegen, Stadskanaal, Eindhoven (Emmasingel) and Heerlen, Netherlands Philips USFA BV, Eindhoven, Netherlands Philips Industrial Devices, Rizal, Philippines Electronica Signetics Corp de Portugal Ltd, Setubal, Portugal Philips EBEI, Kaohsiung EPZ, Taiwan Signetics (Thailand) Corp, Bangkok, Thailand Sivers Lab, Järfälla, Sweden Signetics Corp, Sunnyvale (Calif) and Orem (UT), USA Amperex Electronics Corp, Hicksville (NY), USA

1. Assistant director of the commercial department of Hollandse Signaalapparaten, Hengelo, Netherlands, Mr J Bosma, in the company magazine Signaalflitsen, March 1978, p 6.

^{2.} R Facer, Weapons Procurement in Europe, 1975, p 20.

DEFYING THE ARMS EMBARGO

PROUD OF ITS LONG ASSOCIATION WITH SOUTH AFRICA

In 1979, South African Philips celebrated its golden anniversary and, marking its long involvement in apartheid, the company published a series of three glossy brochures for its business relations. These brochures stated: 'Philips has been associated with the South African electronic industry for 50 years ... Philips is proud of its long association with South Africa. It looks forward to a future in which it will continue its service to the country by bringing the latest developments in modern technology to the Republic based on its worldwide experience.'¹

The first Philips company was established in South Africa in 1929, and started selling imported radio sets and parts. It took up radio assembly in 1938 and, between 1939 and 1945, it supported South Africa's war effort by manufacturing strategic items such as aircraft battery chargers. As a British Dominion, the Union of South Africa fought on the side of the Allies.

In the fifties, South African Philips' radio manufacture expanded and other consumer products were introduced. The sixties were South African Philips' main period of expansion. In 1965, a large Philips radio and lamp factory was opened at Martindale, near Johannesburg. After lengthy preparation, TV manufacture started in 1974. Other interests in the field of lighting are South African Philips' participation in two local lamp factories. Philips opened a new lamp factory of its own in 1974.

In compliance with the apartheid government's bantustan policy, the lamp plant was erected in a so-called border area, at Rosslyn in the vicinity of Pretoria. As a means of creating jobs for black bantustan labour, this project was a failure. The work workforce fell from 400 in 1974 to 100 in 1977; in 1978 the plant was finally shut down and production transferred to Wadeville.

Wadeville, Germiston, is the base of *Philips Telecommunica*tions (Pty) Ltd, a strategic plant at present fully owned by the Philips group. It makes PABX private telephone exchanges, mobile radio systems and security systems. Early in 1979 it took over another Philips subsidiary in South Africa, Pye Telecommunications (Pty) Ltd, at Wijnberg, Transvaal, which specialises in mobile radio manufacture. Both companies are major suppliers to the South African police.

It is also worth mentioning some of Philips' trading activities in South Africa. In 1974, a computer company, *Philips Data Systems (Pty) Ltd*, was opened to market small computers, peripheral equipment and computer services. *South African Philips' Professional Products Division* imports and markets a broad range of sophisticated scientific and industrial equipment. It deals with the South African government's Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), which is deeply involved in military research.²

A seemingly unimpressive operation, which may be Philips' most strategic contribution to the arming of apartheid, is *Edac* (Pty) *Ltd*, a fully-owned Philips subsidiary in Johannesburg which imports and distributes electronic components.

This large network of Philips activities in South Africa (see Figure 6) serves consumer markets, industry, science and government organisations. As a strategic industry, South African Philips faces pressure from the Pretoria administration

NV Philips' Gloeilampenfabrieken, Eindhover, Netherlands (parent company)		
100% NKF Groep BV, Rijswijk, Netherlands (cables division of Philips group)		
67.1% Aberdare Cables Ltd, Johannesburg		
100% Aberdare Cables Africa Ltd, Port Elizabeth		
100% Aberdare Construction (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg		
100% Aycliffe Cables Ltd, Johannesburg (cable plant at Port Elizabeth)		
100% National Telecom (Pty) Ltd, Port Elizabeth (cable plant)		
Netherlands South African Cable Investment & Development Corp (Pty) Ltd (NEFASCO), Port Elizabeth		
Edenvale Plastic Products (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg		
100% Plaspro Industries (Pty) Ltd, Port Elizabeth (plastics factory)		
100% Terrace Industries (Pty) Ltd, Johannesbug (plastics factory)		
Dusamet (Pty) Ltd, Port Elizabeth		
Aberdare (Rhodesia) Ltd, Salisbury, Zimbabwe		
100% Philips Electronic Holdings (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg (holding company)		
75%1 South African Philips (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg (head office for South Africa); Consumer Products Division, Johannesburg		
(radio and TV plant), Consumer Service Division, Johannesburg; Professional Products Division, Johannesburg; Medical		
Systems Division, Johannesburg; Lighting Division, Johannesburg 100% ² Philips Telecommunications (Ptv) Ltd. Wadeville (telecommunications plant)		
(*************************************		
 33% Metalpride Manufacturing Co (Pty) Ltd (plant for telecommunications boxes) 100% Edac (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg (distribution of components) 		
100% Philips Data Systems (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg		
Trutone (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg (record company)		
Trutone Industries (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg		
Intersong (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg		
39.5% ³ Electric Lamp Manufacturers of South Africa (Pty) Ltd (ELMOSA) (lamp factory)		
33% ⁴ Associated Glass Works (Pty) Ltd, Port Elizabeth (glass factory)		
1. The remaining 25% is owned by the South African group SANLAM		
2. In 1979 Philips Telecom absorbed Pye Telecommunications (Pty) Ltd, a mobile radio plant in Wijnberg, which also belonged to the		
Philips group. Philips has announced that, as before, South African business will soon participate in Philips Telecom 3. The other shareholders are Thorn Electrical Industries and GEC from the UK		
4. The other shareholders are Crompton Parkinson and GEC from the UK		

Figure 6. Philips' South African Operation

Sources: NV Philips' Annual Report 1978; South African Philips Survey; Serving Industry; ao

1. Serving Industry, inside front cover

2. South African Philips Survey, p 46

Figure 7. Equipment made by	Philips (France) in use by	the South African Air Force
-----------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------

Manufacturer	Equipment	Application
TRT	Radio altimeters	Mirage III fighter bombers Mirage F-1 fighter bombers Alouette II/III helicopters Puma helicopters Super Frelon helicopters
TRT*	UHF or UHF/VHF radio sets	Mirage F-1 fighter bombers Alouette III helicopters Puma helicopters
Oméra-Segid*	UHF or UHF/VHF radio sets	Mirage III fighter bombers
Oméra-Segid*	VHF or UHF/VHF radio sets	Mirage III fighter bombers
Oméra-Segid	Photo reconnaissance cameras	Mirage III tactical reconnaissance fighters

Source: Interavia Data: Military Avionic Equipment, Geneva, September 1978

to sell a large part of its share capital to South African business. Philips and other foreign multinationals have hitherto rejected this, arguing that to sell more than 50 per cent of a subsidiary would cut South Africa off from valuable know-how (particularly informal contacts) and give the overseas company less incentive to fight the cause of its South African offshoot.¹ Philips in particular seems offended that its loyalty to South Africa should appear to be in doubt: 'If you have been in a country for fifty years and have invested \$80 million, you like to think you have proved your commitment without fashionable window-dressing,' says managing director Jan Timmer.²

SERVICES RENDERED

In the north of occupied Namibia, long rows of Mirage fighterbombers and French-made military helicopters are lined up, ready for attack on the liberation movement's camps in southern Angola. These aircraft symbolise South Africa's military strength and the complicity of France in arming Pretoria. Apart from the French government and the companies who supplied the aircraft between 1963 and 1976, Philips is one of the less obvious accomplices.

Two Philips companies in France, TRT and its subsidiary Oméra-Segid, supplied navigational, communications and reconnaissance equipment for these aircraft. Every Mirage, Puma, Alouette and Super Frelon delivered to South Africa is fitted with equipment manufactured by Philips (see Figure 7). Thus instruments supplied by Philips facilitate raids like the one on Cassinga, Angola, in May 1978 by the South African Air Force. The former rebel (Smith) regime in Rhodesia also received several of the Mirages and helicopters via South Africa; hence Philips equipment was also used in the devastating attacks on camps in Zambia and Mozambique, such as the massacre at Chimoio, Mozambique, of November 1977. In fact, the Rhodesian security forces received other types of military hardware manufactured by Philips.

In April 1979, the Patriotic Front of Zimbabwe displayed recently-captured enemy equipment; amongst the exhibits were four different types of military radios, manufactured by three Philips companies around the world. Two came from TRT in France. These were different from the aircräft transceivers referred to in Figure 7. One came from Pye in Australia and one from *Philips Telecommunications (Pty) Ltd* in Wadeville, South Africa.

Philips also supplies civil products to the military in South Africa. The South African Defence College at Voortrekkerhoogte has installed Philips closed-circuit television and video recording equipment. In crossword puzzle contests organised for South African military personnel, soldiers vie for Philips colour television sets. Regularly, Philips publishes full-page advertisements in the South African Defence Force's official magazine *Paratus*. All this is of little strategic importance but it indicates Philips' close association with the military in South Africa.

ARMING APARTHEID TODAY: STRATEGIC COMPONENTS

As we have seen, electronics are vital to modern armaments. In fact, 'the capacity of a country's industry to meet its own defence needs rests more on the capability of its electronic industry than that of any other sector'.³ In the face of increasing embargoes, South Africa is feverishly expanding the manufacture of military electronics in the Republic. At great expense, strategic electronic industries are being developed and some data processing, communications and navigation instruments are now produced locally. However, experts admit that South Africa cannot achieve self-sufficiency in this advanced field.

Professor Louis van Biljon, head of the Department of Electrical Engineering at the University of Pretoria, told the newspaper The Citizen in October 1978: 'In spite of talk of high local content and other red herrings that are drawn across the trail from time to time, the terrible truth is that if we are not able to buy components such as diodes, integrated circuits and transistors, we cannot make anything...'⁴

At present, the authorities in Pretoria are confident that they can continue to expand local electronics manufacture and obtain the other products they need either directly or through backdoor sources abroad.

Philips is making an important contribution to both these policies. First, it is involved in large-scale local production of mobile radio systems for the police. We shall discuss this later. Second, its Johannesburg subsidiary Edac is acting as a channel for the import of strategic electronic components and components technology originating from Philips factories in the Netherlands, Britain, France and the US (see Figure 9).

Figure 9 clearly shows that various types of military electronic components are being marketed by Edac in South Africa in defiance of the mandatory arms embargo. Figure 8 shows that Edac is a standard supplier of Philips' range of military night vision components. These are tubes which enable the military and police to operate at night and in low visibility during the day.

^{1.} Financial Mail, 14 July 1978, p 147

^{2.} The Economist, 15 July 1978, p 82

^{3.} R Facer, op cit, p 20

^{4.} Quoted in David M Liff, The computer and electronics industry in South Africa, 1979

Figure 8. Military Night Vision Components available from South African Philips

A Philips sales brochure for military customers lists Philips South African subsidiary Edac as one of the suppliers of Philips components for military night vision instruments. The encircled items were announced by Edac in South Africa in June 1979. Philips state: 'Our night vision components are military products.³ XXI306 18mm MCP INVERTER XXI332 50/40 MCP INVERTE XX138 (IBXX) 20/30 MCP IN THERMAL IMAGING XX1060/01, XX1063 CASCADE APPLICATION x x x Sight Gunners Sight х х х х Commanders Sight х х х х Driving Sigh х х Observation Sight x х Pocket Viewers х Goggles Х Missile Tracking Y Thermal Pointers x LR. Rada х Anti Tank Weapon Sighte х For special application in development and For TV systems-the \$58XQ pyroelectric vid FAPESA I.y.C., Av. Crovars 2580, Tablada, Prov. ARRES, Tol. 652-74387/478. PULES INDUSTRES HOLDNOS LTD., Elecons Divis d. LANE COVE. 2006, N.S.W., Tol. 427 06 88. STEREICHGECHE PHILIPE ANDRELEMENTE m. b.H., Threater St. 64. ANDI WIEN. Tol. 620 111. E. L.E., 60, tro den Deux Garene BAVOR RUVELLES, HILIPS NEDERLAND B.V., Ald. El-VEN. 761, 1040) 793333 aland: Philips Electrical Ind. Ltd., Elcorna Division, er Place, St. Lukes, AUCKLAND, Tel. 667 193 : ELECTRONICA A/S., Vitaminveren II, P.O. Box 23, OSLO 4, Tel. (02) ISO590. DECA 1, Tel. (02) ISO590. ado 10132, LIMA, Tel. 2773 17. trial Dev. Inc., 2246 Pasong Tama APE, Caixa Postal 7383, Av. Paulista 2073-S/Loja, O, SP, Tol. 284-4511 /https://www.instance.com 1489-Sito 689. PTUGESA S.A.R.L., Av. Eng. Dubaris Pachi PTUGESA S.A.R.L., Av. Eng. Dubaris Pachi .O. SP. Tel. 224-4511 PHILPS ELECTRONICS LTD., Electron Devices Div., Ave., SCARBOROUGH, Ontario, MIB 1M8, Tel. 232-5161 LPS CHUENA S.A., Av. Santa Maria (1780, SANTIAGO, SADAPE S. A. P.O. Box 9806, Calle 13, No. 51 + 39, E. I., Tel. 600 600. DIE L. THE 400000. CHONTWATT ANS. Endropwey IEA. DK 2600 VAN NY, TOI, (BR06 18 22. OY FILLER AR, ENGrona Divistan, Rusokana 8, EKISINKI NJ, TAI. 1277. I.C. LA RADIOTECHONUE COMPELIEC. a Led TRIKONI, T'25500 PARIS I. Toi. 35544498. VILAU VI. BROWNIEN TOI. BLY AND HAUSE strasses 10.24 (MANDURG). TOI. (MAN) 2684. FILLES A. HELLENDRUE ELCOME SMAN, Syngtro-MILLES A. HELLENDRUE ELCOME SMAN, Syngtro-THROWN, 3 3 1:PHLIPS A.G. Eloms Dept, Edense, 1:PHLIPS A.G. Eloms Dept, Edense, 1:PHLIPS A.G. Eloms 287(7, 2016), 2017 1:PHLIPS A.G. Elows 287(7, 2016), 2017 1:PHLIPS TICARET A.S. EMST Department, 1:PHLIPS TICARET, A.S. EMST DEPARTMENT, 1: -L on, 52, Av. Syngrou levices & Materiale) AMPEREX SALES SLATERSVILLE, R.I. 02878, Tel. (401) 762-9000. D/FLICTRA 5100 u JPS HONG KONG LTD., Comp. Dept., Philips Ind. ., K.C.T.L. 289, KWAJ CHUNG, N.T. Tel. 12-24 51 21 SO 5, MONTEVIDEC Jruguay Tel 9432 IND. VENEZOLANAS PHILIPS S.A., Elcoma Dept., A. uirea, Edif. Centro Colgate, Apdoli67, CARACAS, ELECT Electronic components and materials PHILIPS 9399 469 614 01 Printed in England Source: 'Proximity Focussed Lightweight Image Intensifiers', undated, printed in England, published by Philips' Elcoma division.

In the June 1979 issue of the South African business magazine *Electronics and Instrumentation*, Edac announced three different types of night vision components, including items (2) and (3) listed in Figure 9. One of these, the image intensifier tube XX1410, was specially developed by Philips for use in military goggles. Philips states that it is also '*ideal for lightweight weapon sights and pocket viewers*' and that it is a direct replacement for tubes used in the US military goggles type AN/PVS5.¹

Philips makes it quite clear what the application of such components is: 'Our night vision components are military products.'²

Edac's contribution to the development of the armaments industry in South Africa is not restricted to selling imported components. The company 'runs a library service and laboratory with complete access to Philips' expertise worldwide',³

General manager Jimmy Heald says: 'With regard to the application of components, we have an application laboratory where engineers are available to advise manufacturers and industry at large on the application possibilities of our large range of components. Application information is received from our overseas suppliers and is originated in the highly developed Philips/Mullard/Amperex/Signetics research laboratories.'⁴

Thus, as soon as one of these specialised components companies introduces a new military product, Edac can supply it in South Africa, along with the expertise necessary to apply it.

Finally, Edac is considering taking up local manufacture of

Figure 9. Philips' Military Components on Sale in South Africa

- 'Low power versions, military specification versions, and capacity of semiconductor memories,' manufactured by Philips' subsidiary, Signetics, in Sunnyvale, California, USA.¹
- (2) A pyro-electric vidicon S58XQ for infra-red imaging, from North American Philips' Electro-Optics Division. Philips states that 'the use of this technique has been restricted mainly to military systems'; however, now other applications are also possible.²
- (3) 'A high sensitivity proximity image intensifier XX1410', developed for use in military night vision goggles,³ probably manufactured by Philips' subsidiary, RTC La Radiotechnique-Compelec, in France.
- (4) 'Two full military-range versions of industry-standard field programmable arrays ... the S82S100 ... and S82S101', made by Philips' subsidiary, RTC La Radiotechnique-Compelec, in France.⁴
- (5) High voltage output transistors BFQ34, BFR90, BFR91 and BFR96. These broadband transistors are suited for application in military communication equipment.⁵ They are produced at Philips' *Elcoma* plants at Stadskanaal and Nijmegen in the Netherlands.
- (6) 'The full range of Philips RF power transistors for mobile transmitting equipment ... civil, military, aerospace, or maritime. '6 Such transistors are manufactured at several Philips plants.
- Note: Items (1), (2), (3) and (5) were announced in South Africa after the UN mandatory arms embargo was imposed. Items (1) and (2) were announced after President Carter had prohibited the supply of US-origin goods to or for the military and police in South Africa. Items (2) and (3) were displayed at the Military Electronic Defence Equipment Exhibition in November 1979, at Wiesbaden, FRG. The front cover of this pamphlet shows the application of item (3) in military goggles.
- 1. Serving Industry, September 1979, p 12.
- Electronics & Instrumentation (E&Ī), June 1979, p 114; Serving Science, August 1979, p 25.
- 3. E&I, June 1979, p 122.
- 4. E&I, January 1977, p 55.
- 5. E&I, February 1979, p 47.
- 6. E&I, October 1976, p 62.

components, if the Pretoria government is prepared to subsidise the development of a strategic components industry.⁵ Sufficient funding has not yet been forthcoming, although government officials are eager to stress the strategic significance of electronic components.

In 1977, Mr P W Botha – then Minister of Defence, now Prime Minister – opened a factory for the manufacture of integrated circuits. On this occasion he said: 'Integrated circuits form part of all sophisticated weapons using electronic systems. A local manufacturer will be invaluable from the point of view of defence strategy.'⁶

And the leading authority on telecommunications in South Africa, Postmaster General Mr Louis Rive, has stated: 'I firmly believe that the ability to apply micro-electronic techniques over a wide spectrum of applications will determine whether South Africa remains the leader in Africa. And I'm not merely talking about the advance of telecommunications and industry and commerce – I'm talking about defence. For the mastery of micro-electronic techniques is the key to effective military strategy.'⁷

- 6. Apartheid and the British Electronics Worker, British AAM, p 2
- 7. Telecommunications Survey, 1978, p 28

^{1.} Philips brochure: Proximity Focussed Lightweight Image Intensifiers, p 2

^{2.} Philips brochure: First in Night Vision Components, p 4

^{3.} South African Philips Survey, op cit, p 53

^{4.} Serving Industry, op cit, p 11

^{5.} Survey, op cit, p 53



Philips Telecommunications' Wadeville factory. Here mobile radio equipment is produced for the South African police. Photograph: Serving Industry, 1979

ARMING APARTHEID TODAY: POLICE RADIOS

The second principal area in which Philips is breaking the arms embargo against South Africa is the manufacture of strategic communications equipment for military and police use within the Republic.

Philips has two telecommunications plants in South Africa: the Philips Telecommunications factory in Wadeville and the Pye Telecommunications factory in Wijnberg. Both manufacture mobile radio equipment and, in addition, Philips Telecom produces telephone equipment and loading coils for telephone cables as well as security systems.

The market for security systems is booming in South Africa, as a result of growing resistance to apartheid and increasing repression by the government. Some of the products marketed by Philips have been reported to be in use for strategic purposes. Philips' Microwave Fence Alarm System and Access Control System, as well as its fingerprinting equipment, are of obvious interest to the police. And, when discussing the importance of giving its South African customers reliable service, Philips says: 'Consider a closed circuit television camera monitoring fuel loading in a nuclear reactor...'. Closed circuit television equipment is one of Philips' Telecom's most successful security products.¹

Philips' mobile radio activities in South Africa are even more significant.

Pye Telecom started making mobile radio transmitting and receiving equipment in South Africa in 1966, shortly before the British Pye Group was taken over by Philips. One of the first major orders came from the police administration of the province of Natal, for the equipment of its vehicles and stations. With the prospect of further orders from the police in other provinces, the management of Pye Telecom predicted that 'it will not be long before the whole country is covered by a radio telephone network'.²

This has proved to be the case. In 1973, a survey of the telecommunications sector of South Africa concluded: 'The rapid growth both in government (defence, police, transport etc) and private sector application of paging and radio remote systems has spawned a thriving industry dominated by Motorola with Philips and Pye ... in hot pursuit.'³

Since that time, Philips and Pye have been major suppliers of mobile radio equipment, pagers, walkie-talkies, etc, to the South African government, including the police. This was in line with Philips' objective in setting up Philips Telecom. The company was established in 1963, the year in which the first UN Security Council arms embargo against South Africa was imposed, to manufacture government equipment. Its present large site was opened by the then Minister of Economic Affairs, Mr F W Haak, in 1970.⁴

Recent years have seen some major changes in Philips' mobile radio activities in South Africa. In November 1977, the UN Security Council made the arms embargo a mandatory provision under international law. This led the US government to forbid all supplies of US-origin goods to or for the armed forces and police of South Africa. In January 1979, a South African magazine reported on the effects of recent embargo measures on South African industry: 'Motorola in the past had the bulk of the local police business for mobile radio, but it has lost out recently as a result of American legislation. This has been of considerable benefit to other companies, such as Pye and Philips, and locally-owned producers such as Transronic.'⁵

Also in early 1979, Pye Telecom and Philips Telecom, which had been operating independently, although both belonged to Philips, merged to form South Africa's largest manufacturer of mobile radio equipment. The same magazine said that 'the recent amalgamation of the two is expected to produce a very powerful entity'.⁶

Subsequently, Philips announced that the telecommunications operation in South Africa was being 'restructured'. As before, South African capital will soon participate in Philips Telecommunications (Pty) Ltd.⁷

Philips Telecommunications is now one of the major violators of the arms embargo against South Africa. Far from obeying the mandatory United Nations resolution, Philips is supplanting deliveries previously made by US companies to the South African police. We have no information on the extent of Philips' manufacture for the armed forces within South Africa, but, in view of Philips Telecom's dominant position in the field of mobile radio, it is likely to be significant.

- 2. Nachrichten für den Aussenhandel, Frankfurt/Main, 3 March 1967.
- 3. Telecommunications Survey 1973, p 60.
- 4. Serving Industry, p 23.
- 5. Electronics and Instrumentation, January 1979, p 27.
- 6. Ibid.
- 7. Serving Industry, p 23.

^{1.} Serving Science, p 30.

ORGANISING TO STOP COLLABORATION

Before discussing what must be done to stop Philips arming apartheid, let us briefly consider the reasons to combat this particular problem.

We are sometimes told that it is more appropriate for Philips workers in a country like the Netherlands to campaign for greater trade union freedom and higher wages in South Africa than to participate in boycott actions. We support solidarity campaigns for the workers' struggle in South Africa but we reject the view that such campaigns are an alternative to boycott action.

The UN General Assembly calls for comprehensive sanctions against South Africa. The UN Security Council has passed a mandatory arms embargo. In the Netherlands, the trade union federation FNV has spoken out in favour of an economic boycott. Above all, the liberation movement of South Africa, the African National Congress, as well as the South African Congress of Trade Unions, ask their overseas supporters to boycott Pretoria as completely as possible.

Hence boycott action is necessary – and it should be as comprehensive as possible. This is not to say that partial sanctions have become irrelevant. It is urgently necessary to continue striving for an effective arms embargo because:

- 1. South Africa remains heavily dependent on foreign supplies of military technology and military equipment, especially in advanced fields such as electronics;
- 2. there is a strong base in international law, in the political commitments of most governments and parties, and in public opinion, for an effective arms embargo, and this base must be utilised to the full;
- 3. partial sanctions will pave the way for more far-reaching measures, such as an economic boycott.

Who is to be held responsible for the military collaboration described in this pamphlet?

The collaborators in the most direct sense of the word are the company managers at Philips in South Africa, at the Philips plants exporting strategic electronics to South Africa, and, of course, at head office in Eindhoven, Netherlands. In the final analysis, the group's directors are responsible for all company policy.

It is a safe assumption that Philips' top people at Eindhoven are aware of the company's role in violating the arms embargo. They are unlikely to put an end to these activities on moral grounds. Philips has made a lot of money out of apartheid and will continue to do so as long as possible.

So far, Philips has not contested the allegation of military collaboration with South Africa. The company's director for military affairs, Mr J L P le Noble, simply states that the company obeys the laws of the countries in which it operates and all these countries implement the arms embargo.¹

The first part of this statement may be correct; the second part is obviously incorrect. In one country where Philips is particularly actively violating the arms embargo, South Africa itself, it is in fact against the law to comply with sanctions against the apartheid regime.

Philips' workers are not responsible for their company's violations of the embargo. They have no say in what is manufactured and to whom it is sold. In fact, they are often unaware of the destination of the products. However, they have a moral obligation to fight their company's contribution

to the arming of a racist dictatorship, in defiance of international law.

Shareholders, consumers and public opinion can also play a part in applying pressure on the company to obey the embargo.

GOVERNMENT RESPONSIBILITY

In a legal and political sense, the party responsible for these violations of the arms embargo is the government involved. The UN arms embargo obliges governments to ensure that the people and companies under their jurisdiction do not supply military equipment to South Africa. No government carries a heavier responsibility for Philips' sanctions-breaking than the government of the Netherlands, where Philips' parent company and head office are based. In the final analysis, Philips is a Dutch company and is therefore the responsibility of the authorities in The Hague.

Since November 1977, when the mandatory embargo was passed, the Netherlands government has done nothing of any significance to implement the ban. Its policy concerning the arms embargo has remained the same, based on two measures: a statement that no export permits shall be awarded for the supply of arms to South Africa from the Netherlands, and 'gentlemen's agreements' with business to prevent the transport of arms or the transfer of military technology to South Africa.

The government, like Philips, does not deny the allegation that Philips is arming South Africa. However, it states:

- 1. that export permits are awarded for the supply of certain strategic goods from the Netherlands to South Africa which are not considered arms or related equipment in the sense of the arms embargo; and
- 2. that the Netherlands government has no influence on, and carries no responsibility for, the activities of foreign subsidiaries and affiliates of Dutch companies.

This is a strange attitude for a government to take which prides itself on its commitment to the defence of human rights, its opposition to racism and its faith in international law.

As far as the first argument is concerned, it is useful to point out that the Netherlands, as a member of the Coordinating Committee (CoCom) of Western countries and Japan, has a detailed list of strategic goods, which may not be exported to any destination without a government export permit. This is intended to prevent the supply of strategic goods to socialist countries.

However, the Dutch government permits Dutch companies to supply an undefined number of these strategic items to South Africa, the only country in the world under a mandatory UN arms embargo.

There can be no question that the strategic electronic products exported by Philips from the Netherlands (and other Western countries) to South Africa are 'related materials' in terms of the arms embargo.

As regards the second argument of the Netherlands government, it may be unusual for a government to interfere in the activities of its multinationals' overseas subsidiaries, but it is not impossible.

1. Philips Koerier, 13 December 1979.

US legislation on the arms embargo, though imperfect, shows that a certain measure of control can be exercised on companies' foreign subsidiaries. Under US law, American-based firms are obliged to ascertain that all the goods or unpublished technical knowledge they export are not passed on to the South African military or police by their trading partners, whether these are subsidiaries or not. This makes circumvention of the US arms embargo via overseas subsidiaries more difficult and it helps to stop US companies assisting the development of the South African arms industry by the supply of parts and technology.

Not surprisingly, the government's 'gentlemen's agreement' with business concerning the transport of arms and the transfer of military technology to South Africa has proved ineffective. In 1978, a Dutch vessel, the 'Breezand', carried 52 crates of 155mm howitzer shells to South Africa and suffered no penalty as a consequence.

Moreover, there are many indications that the transfer of strategic technology (including nuclear) to South Africa is hardly obstructed by any government controls. Nuclear and military experts are known to have travelled between South Africa and the Netherlands, and a transnational corporation like Philips uses its own channels to transfer the advanced technology South Africa needs from the Netherlands (or other Western countries) to Johannesburg.

SOLIDARITY

The legitimate spokesmen of the majority population of South Africa are unanimous in calling for our solidarity in terminating all connections – military, economic, cultural and sporting – with the Pretoria regime. It is therefore spurious to argue that Philips' activities in South Africa provide jobs for black South Africans and should not be opposed. This kind of argument is typical of attempts by management to divide workers amongst themselves.

These are not particularly easy times for Philips workers. On an international level, automation is creating redundancies, dismissals are increasing amongst blue-collar as well as whitecollar workers, and there is a growing feeling of job insecurity. In this situation, international solidarity becomes a threat to management policy, as it becomes ever more important to the workers. A practical example is the case of runaway industries, attracted by the relatively weak position of the trade unions in some developing countries. This reallocation is harmful to the interests of the workers at both ends. In the first country, mass dismissals are likely to occur; and in the second country, the establishment of industries based primarily on the absence of trade union freedom will reinforce the pattern of underdevelopment. The workers in the West should therefore defend their jobs whilst at the same time supporting the struggle for trade union freedom in the Third World. In the case of Southern Africa, the downfall of the apartheid regime and the eradication of racism and colonialism will constitute not only a great liberation for the workers and population of the region, but also a victory for international solidarity and the international workers' movement.

Our experience is that many Philips workers are willing to support their brothers' struggle against apartheid in South Africa. And there would be much more opposition on the shop floor to Philips' collaboration if the workers received more information about the activities of their company. The shop stewards and trade unions at Philips in Holland are cooperating with the Netherlands Anti-Apartheid Movement to provide the necessary information.

This pamphlet is an attempt to spread the information more widely to Philips workers and other interested parties around the world. We are confident that the Philips workers will play an important part in ending the Philips company's collaboration with the apartheid regime.

Philips' major subsidiaries supplying military electronics

AUSTRALIA

Philips Telecommunications Manufacturing Company Ltd: Radio Division – PO Box 105, Clayton, Victoria 3168; Telephone Division: PO Box 269, Liverpool, New South Wales 2170. Philips Industries Holdings Ltd: Elcoma Division – 67 Mars Road, Lane Cove, New South Wales 2066.

BELGIUM

Manufacture Belge de Lampes et de Matériel Electronique SA: 80 rue des Deux Gares, B1070 Brussels.

CANADA

Philips Electronics Ltd: 601 Milner Avenue, Scarborough, Ontario M1B 1M8.

FRANCE

Télécommunications Radioélectriques et Téléphoniques SA: 88 rue Brillat Savarin, 75-640 Paris Cedex 13. RTC La Radiotechnique-Compelec: 130 Avenue Ledru-Rollin, 75540 Paris 11.

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

Philips GmbH: Unternehmensbereich Elektro Spezial, Hans-Bredow-Strasse, Postfach 44822, D2800 Bremen. Philips GmbH: Unternehmensbereich Bauelemente (Valvo), Valvo Haus, Burchardstrasse 19, 2000 Hamburg 1. TEKADE Felten & Guillaume Fernmeldeanlagen GmbH: Postfach 780, D85 Nurnberg.

GREAT BRITAIN

The MEL Equipment Company: Manor Royal, Crawley, Sussex RH10 2PZ. Pye Telecommunications Ltd: St Andrews Road, Cambridge CB4 1DP. Pye TMC Ltd: Malmesbury, Wiltshire SN16 9NA. Mullard Ltd: Mullard House, Torrington Place, London WC1E 7HD.

HONG KONG

Philips Hong Kong Ltd: Elcoma Division, 15/F Philips Ind Building, 24-28 Kung Yip Street, Kwai Chung.

INDIA

PEICO Electronics and Electricals Ltd: Ramon House, 169 Backbay Reclamation, Bombay 400020.

ITALY

Philips SpA: Sezione Elcoma, Piazza IV Novembre 3, I 20124 Milano.

JAPAN

NIHON Philips Corp. Shuwa Shinagawa Building, 26-33 Takanawa 3-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108.

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Philips Electronics (Korea) Ltd: Elcoma Division, Philips House, 260-199 Itaewon-Dong, Yongsan-ku, CPO Box 3680, Seoul.

NETHERLANDS

Hollandse Signaalapparaten BV: PO Box 42, Hengelo 7700. Philips Telecommunicatie Industrie BV: PO Box 32, 1200 JB Hilversum. Philips Nederland BV: Elcoma-divisie, Boschdijk 525, 5600 PB Eindhoven.

NEW ZEALAND

Philips Electrical Industries Ltd: 2 Wagener Place, St Lukes, Auckland.

SOUTH AFRICA

Philips Telecommunications (Pty) Ltd: PO Box 14003, Wadeville 1422. Edac (Pty) Ltd: PO Box 23131, Joubert Park 2044. South African Philips (Pty) Ltd: PO Box 7703, Johannesburg 2000.

SWEDEN

Philips Elektronikindustrier AB: S17588 Stockholm. AB Elcoma: Lidingövägen 50, S-11584 Stockholm 27.

UNITED STATES

North American Philips Communications Systems Division: 51 Knightsbridge Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854. Amperex Sales Corp: Providence Pike, Slatersville, RI 02876. Signetics Corp: 811 East Arques Avenue, Sunnyvale, California 94086. Magnavox Government and Industrial Equipment Company: 1700 Magnavox Way, Fort Wayne, Indiana 46804.

Sources

Apartheid and the British Electronic Worker, AAM, London, 1978.

David M Liff, The Computer and Electronics Industry in South Africa, Investor Responsibility Research Center, Inc, Washington DC, 1979.

Electronics and Instrumentation, Johannesburg.

Financial Mail, Johannesburg.

Interavia Data, Military Avionic Equipment, Interavia, Geneva, September 1978.

Nachrichten für den Aussenhandel, Frankfurt am Main.

NV Philips 1978 Annual Report (in Dutch), Eindhoven, 1979.

Philips' Elcoma booklets on night vision components: Proximity Focussed Lightweight Image Intensifiers, undated, printed in England; First in Night Vision Components, undated, printed in England.

Philips Koerier, Philips Netherlands company newspaper, Eindhoven.

Philips Violates the Arms Embargo Against South Africa, Netherlands Anti-Apartheid Movement, Amsterdam, 1979.

Roger Facer, The Alliance and Europe: Part III, Weapons Procurement in Europe, Adelphi Paper 108, IISS, London, 1975.

SA Philips Survey, supplement to the Financial Mail, Johannesburg, 29 July 1977.

Philips – 50 Years in South Africa. Serving Science, Thomson Publications SA (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg, 1979. Distributed as a supplement to *Electronics and Instrumentation*.

Philips – 50 Years in South Africa. Serving Industry, Thomson Publications SA (Pty) Ltd, Johannesburg, 1979. Distributed as a supplement to *Electronics and Instrumentation*.

Signaalflitsen, company newspaper of Hollandse Signaalapparaten BV, Hengelo, Netherlands.

Back cover: A selection from Philips brochures: 'Serving Industry', Johannesburg, 1979; 'Infrared Detectors for Military Applications', Netherlands, 1979; 'First in Night Vision Components', undated, printed in England; 'Proximity Focussed Lightweight Image Intensifiers', undated, printed in England.

.





Infrared detectors for military applications

PHILIPS



Our night vision components are military products. They meet military quality standards. We have factories in fourcountries. They are each approved to the highest quality level obtaining intheir country Three have DEFSTAN or Stanag approval, the fourth is in the U.S.A. and delivers to U.S. Government requirements.

THER AND DITES



For effective night vision systems choose from a purpose designed component range



Image intensifiers Intensified Silicon Vidicons **Pyroelectric Vidicons**

SHE CURREN

FIRST IN NIGH VISION COMPON RNI

Stell THE STELL

Electronic Components and Materials



Amsterdam, 18 december 1980



Betreft: THE PHILIPS CONNECTION Military electronics for South Africa

Hierbij biedt de Anti-Apartheids Beweging Nederland u een exemplaar van zijn nieuwste rapport 'The Philips Connection' aan. Het rapport werd in samenwerking met 'The World Campaign against Military and Nuclear Collaboration with South Africa' gepubliceerd. Het rapport is bedoeld voor distributie binnen internationale prganisaties als de Verenigde Naties, binnen vakbondsorganisaties en voor de Philipsarbeiders binnen en buiten Nederland.

In de tijd dat het rapport gedrukt werd vonden er enkele gebeurtenissen plaats die hier kort genoemd moeten worden.

Op internationaal niveau deed de Veiligheidsraadskommissie møb.t. Zuid-Afrika belangrijke aanbevelingen om het wapenembargo te versterken. Als deze door de Veiligheidsraad zouden worden aangenomen en door de lidstaten zouden worden uitgevoerd zou dit eraan meehelpen om het soort kollaboratie dat in dit rapport beschreven wordt te beeindigen.

In Nederland beloofde de Minister van Buitenlandse Zaken, Van der Klaauw, dat Nederland haar emburgo-wetgeving onmiddellijk zou herzien als het VN-embargo gewijzigd zou worden. Bovendien nam de Nederlandse regering twee Sanktiebeschikkingen aan: één om het vervoer van wapenen naar Zuid-Afrika te verbieden, en één om de overdracht van militaire technologie aan Zuid-Afrika te verbieden.

Deze beschikkingen vormen de eerste belangrijke Nederlandse maatregelen om het verplichte wapenembargo uit te voeren en als zodanig worden ze door de Anti-Apartheids Beweging Nederland toegejuicht.

Echter: hun reikwijdte is zeer beperkt, ze bevatten een groot aantal ontsnappingsmogelijkheden, en het probleem van Philips' militaire kollaboratie binnen Zuid-Afrika -bijvoorbeeld de fabrikage van radioapparatuur voor de Zuidafrikaanse politie- wordt er helemaal niet in behandeld. In feite wordt de bijdrage van Philips aan het militaire apparaat van het apartheidsregiem nauwelijk beinvloed door deze twee Beschikkingen. De Anti-Apartheids Beweging Nederland zal zijn kampagne dan ook met kracht voortzetten.

ERRATA

Helaas zijn er enkele ongelukkige fouten in het rapport geslopen die korrektie behoeven: Binnenkant omslag, 5e regel m.z.:"the back page lists <u>Philips</u> South Africa..." p.7, le kolom, 3e paragraaf m.z.: "The Philips family, which founded the enterprise in <u>1891</u>" p.8, tabel 3: Omzet: \$ 16.578 miljoen Winst-voöf-Belasting: \$ 637 miljoen Netto-Winst: \$ 359 miljoen.

ļ

Pim Juffermans,

lid Dagelijks Bestuur Anti-Apartheids Beweging Nederland.